

CHARLA R. DUKE, ESQ. SBN# 095518  
LAW OFFICES OF CHARLA R. DUKE  
299 Third Street, Suite 201  
Oakland, California 94607  
Telephone (510) 839-5453  
Fax (510) 839-0343  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
MONROE QUINN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MONROE QUINN,	)	Case No.:
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	<u>Civil Rights</u>
	)	
vs.	)	
	)	
CATHAY BANK, 710 Webster Street,	)	COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF CIVIL
	)	RIGHTS AND DISCRIMINATION
Oakland , and DOES 1-50 Inclusive,	)	AGAINST PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES;
	)	AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT
Defendants.	)	OF 1990; CAL. CIVIL CODE §§ 54, ET
	)	SEQ.; CAL. CIVIL CODE §§51, ET SEQ.;
	)	CAL. HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE
	)	§§19955, ET SEQ.; CAL BUS. & PROF.
	)	CODE §17200. ET SEQ.; NEGLIGENCE;
	)	DECLARATORY RELIEF; DAMAGES
	)	
	)	
	)	
	)	
	)	<u>DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL</u>

**INTRODUCTION**

1. Plaintiff complains of Defendants herein and alleges that:

Plaintiff MONROE QUINN complains of Defendants CATHAY BANK, (Hereinafter, collectively referred to as "BANK"), and does 1-50, Inclusive, and each of them and alleges as follows:

**JURISDICTION**

This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. sections 1343(a) (3) and 1343 (a) (4) for claims arising under the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. sections 12101, et. seq. Plaintiff's cause of action across in this district, Under this doctrine of pendant and supplemental jurisdiction, this Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims existing under California State law.

**VENUE**

2. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. sections 1391 (b), venue is proper in the District in which this Complaint is filed, which is the judicial district in which the claim has arisen. CATHAY BANK is a corporation doing business in California.

3. MONROE QUINN, is a physically disabled person who uses a wheelchair for mobility. The bank is located at 710 Webster Street, Oakland . When Plaintiff MONROE QUINN attempted to patronize this BANK, MONROE QUINN found that the BANK's counters were not accessible and usable by disabled persons as required under California law. Access to the BANK's facilities is also denied due to the BANK'S, failure to remove barriers to access as required by the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (hereinafter "ADA"). This lawsuit is brought to require the BANK to modify its facilities, including the teller counters and writing tables, and so as to provide to all disabled persons the accessible facilities to which they are entitled under state and federal law.

**FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION:**

**BREACH OF STATUTORY PROTECTION FOR PHYSICALLY DISABLED PERSONS**

4. Plaintiff MONROE QUINN is a "physically handicapped" or physically disabled person who was denied his rights to "full and equal access" to a public facility by Defendants, and each of them, because they maintained and continue to operate public facilities which do not provide proper access for physically disabled persons as required by law. These access deficiencies include but are not limited to: **1) TELLER COUNTERS:** the height of the teller counter is approximately 40-1/2 inches above the finished floor, which exceeds the maximum allowed by the code, by 6-1/2 inches. **CODE VIOLATION:** The tops of tables and counters shall be 28

1 inches to 34 inches from the floor or ground. (CBC 122B.4) **2) WRITING TABLES:** the  
 2 writing table is approximately 42 inches above the finished floor, which exceeds the maximum  
 3 height by code by 8 inches. **CODE VIOLATION:** The tops of tables and counters shall be 28  
 4 inches to 34 inches from floor to ground (CBC 1112B.4). Further, on information and belief,  
 5 there is inadequate access to safe deposit boxes. By this lawsuit, Plaintiff seeks damages for the  
 6 violation of his Civil Rights, and Plaintiff also requests that this Court grant injunctive relief,  
 7 requiring the Defendants to comply with both California and United States laws requiring access  
 8 to the Bank and its facilities for physically disabled persons so long as Defendants operate the  
 9 premises as a public facility.

10 5. Plaintiff and other physically disabled persons are unable to use public facilities such as  
 11 those owned and operated by Defendants on a "full and equal" basis unless such facility is in  
 12 compliance with the provisions of the ADA. Plaintiff is a member of that portion of the public  
 13 whose rights are protected by the provisions of ADA. The acts and omissions of the Defendants  
 14 complained of herein were committed in the City of OAKLAND, State of California.

15 6. Plaintiff MONROE QUINN is a "person with disabilities," or a physically handicapped  
 16 person, who requires use of a wheelchair for mobility. Hereinafter, the words "physically  
 17 disabled" and "physically handicapped" are used interchangeably as these words have similar or  
 18 identical common usage and legal meaning. However, the legislative scheme in Part 5.5 of the  
 19 Health & Safety Code uses the term "physically handicapped persons" and the Unruh Civil  
 20 Rights Act, California Civil Code §§51 and 52, and the Disabled Persons Act, §§54, 54.1, 54.3  
 21 and 55, and other statutory measures refer to protection of the rights of "physically disabled  
 22 persons" and of "individuals with a disability."

23 7. Defendants BANK and DOES 1-50, Inclusive, are the owners and operators, lessors  
 24 and/or lessees of the BANK, a public facility located at 710 Webster Street, Oakland, County of  
 25 OAKLAND, State of California. This building is a "public accommodation or facility" subject to  
 26 the requirements of the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990 and California Civil Code  
 27 §§51, 54, 54.1 et seq. Plaintiff does not know the true names, capacities, and responsibilities  
 28 regarding the subject premises of the Defendants sued herein as DOES 1-50, and therefore sues

1 them under such fictitious names. On information and belief, such Defendants are corporations  
2 and natural persons whose residences are in state of California. Plaintiff is informed and believes  
3 that all such Defendants have had and continue to have some form of control or legal  
4 responsibility for the maintenance ownership and/or operation of the BANK during periods of  
5 time relevant to this Complaint. After appropriate discovery, Plaintiff will seek leave of the  
6 Court to amend this Complaint to identify said DOES when such Defendants' true names,  
7 capacities, and responsibilities have been ascertained.

8 8. Plaintiff is informed and believes that each of the Defendants herein is the agent,  
9 employee, alter ego or representative of each of the other Defendants, and performed all acts and  
10 omissions stated herein within the scope of such agency or employment or representative or alter  
11 ego capacity, and is responsible in some manner for the acts and omissions of the other  
12 Defendants in proximately causing the damage complained of herein. Further, all acts and  
13 omissions of each such Defendant were done as part of a joint venture and common enterprise  
14 among all Defendants, for which they are all jointly and severally liable.

15 9. Plaintiff MONROE QUINN was and is all times relative to this Complaint, a physically  
16 disabled person as defined for purposes of protections of the §§54ff, Civil Code, the Disabled  
17 Rights Acts, and the protections of Part 5.5 of the Health & Safety Code: "Access to Physically  
18 Handicapped Persons." Plaintiff MONROE QUINN, is physically disabled and often requires  
19 the use of a wheelchair; he cannot climb stairs, climb curbs, or enter narrow doorways in his  
20 wheelchair because of his disability, and needs use of the other protections required by law for  
21 the protection of disabled persons.

22 10. Public BANKs and "saving BANKs" such as CATHAY BANK, are public  
23 accommodations and facilities within the meaning of Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990.

24 11. Within the past year, Plaintiff MONROE QUINN came to the BANK in his wheelchair  
25 on more than one occasion, for business purposes, with the intention of inquiring about a  
26 checking account. Plaintiff found the BANK provides inadequate access to people with  
27 disabilities, including, intern alia: inaccessible service counters. These barriers make it  
28 impossible for persons with mobility disabilities, such as Plaintiff, to access the SUBJECT

1 FACILITY without assistance.

2 12. Despite Plaintiff making repeated Complaints and afterwards to managers and assistant  
3 managers at the BANK with regard to access problems, Defendants took no action to rectify the  
4 inaccessible conditions. Defendants have known that CATHAY BANK, violated disability  
5 accesses requirements and standards and refuses to rectify the violations. It is clear that failure to  
6 act constitutes violations of the ADA.

7 13. On information and belief, inside the BANK, Plaintiff will be unable to deal directly with  
8 any of the BANK's tellers as none of the teller windows were configured with the legally  
9 required lowered counter level. On inquiry of the teller, Plaintiff was told that there were no  
10 lowered counters, nor were other accessible accommodations available for Plaintiff's use as a  
11 wheelchair user.

12 14. The BANK did not display signs at any door or anywhere on the premises designating  
13 any accessible accommodations for use by physically disabled persons.

14 15. On information and belief, to the date of filing of this Complaint, on or about December  
15 31, 2001, there are still no accessible features at this BANK in each of the respects complained  
16 of hereinabove.

17 16. As the result of his negative experiences upon patronizing the BANK described  
18 hereinabove, Plaintiff MONROE QUINN suffered violations of his Civil Rights and of his  
19 statutory rights as a disabled person to full and equal access to public facilities and further  
20 suffered physical, mental and emotional pain, and suffered embarrassment, humiliation and  
21 emotional distress, all to his damages as hereinafter prayed.

22 17. Plaintiff MONROE QUINN is informed and believes and therefore alleges that  
23 Defendants and each of them caused the subject building and BANK to be constructed, altered  
24 and maintained in such a manner that physically disabled persons were denied full and equal  
25 access to, within and throughout said building, and full and equal use of said public facilities.  
26 Further, on information and belief, Defendants and each of the facilities in such conditions up to  
27 the present time, despite actual and constructive notice to such Defendants, and each of them,  
28 that the configuration of the building was in violation of the Civil Rights of handicapped persons,

1 such as Plaintiff MONROE QUINN. Such construction, modification, ownership, operation,  
2 maintenance and practices of such a public facility is in violation of law as stated in Americans  
3 With Disabilities Act of 1990.

4 18. On information and belief, the subject BANK and DOES 1-50 denied full and equal  
5 access to disabled persons in other respects due to non-compliance with requirements of the  
6 Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990.

7 19. General Damage - As a result of the refusal by Defendants and each of them to comply  
8 with statutory requirements or otherwise provide reasonable access for disabled persons to the  
9 subject BANK. MONROE QUINN was denied his rights to full and equal access to and use of  
10 public facilities and was discriminated against on the sole basis that he was physically disabled  
11 and used a wheelchair, and was unable to independently access the teller counters at the subject  
12 BANK or use on a "full and equal" basis the other inaccessible facilities as specified  
13 hereinabove. Denial of full and equal access to Plaintiff MONROE QUINN also embarrassed  
14 and humiliated Plaintiff. Defendants' acts have caused Plaintiff physical and psychological pain,  
15 discomfort, suffering, emotional distress and general and statutory damages.

16 20. Attorneys' Fees - As a result of Defendants' acts and omissions as hereinabove described,  
17 Plaintiff has been required to incur legal costs and litigation expenses and hire an attorney in  
18 order to enforce Plaintiff's right and enforce provision of the law protecting access for the  
19 disabled and prohibiting discrimination against the disabled, and to take such action both in his  
20 own interest and in order to enforce an important right affecting the public interest. Plaintiff  
21 therefore seeks recovery in this lawsuit for all attorney's fees, litigation expenses and costs  
22 incurred, pursuant to the provisions of §1021.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure. Plaintiff  
23 additionally seeks attorney's fees pursuant to §§54.3 and 55 of the Civil Code.

24 21. Punitive Damage - Defendants at all times relevant to this Complaint, and continuing to  
25 the present time, knew that disabled persons were denied their rights of full and equal access to  
26 major portions of the public facility. Despite such knowledge, Defendants failed and refused to  
27 take steps to comply with the applicable access statutes; and despite knowledge of the resulting  
28 problems and denial of Civil Rights thereby suffered by Plaintiff MONROE QUINN and other

1 similarly disabled persons. Defendant's have failed and refused to take action to grant full and  
2 equal access to disabled persons in the respects complained of hereinabove. Such actions and  
3 continuing course of conduct by Defendants, evidence despicable conduct in conscious disregard  
4 for the rights of Plaintiff and other disabled persons, justifying an award of exemplary and  
5 punitive damages pursuant to §3294 Civil Code.

6 22. Plaintiff is further informed and believes that prior to each of his visits to the BANK,  
7 Defendants, and each of them, have been notified that other disabled persons have suffered  
8 similar denials of full and equal access, as herein complained of. Further, although Plaintiff  
9 personally complained to the BANK's managerial staff at the time of his visit about the lack of  
10 disabled access and the effect such lack of access had upon disabled persons, and despite the  
11 BANK's managerial staff being informed of such effect on disabled persons and the manner in  
12 which their practices and lack of acceptable facilities and amenities, said Defendants have  
13 continued such practices, in conscious disregard for the rights of physically disabled persons up  
14 to the date of the filing of this Complaint, on or about December 31, 2001. Said conduct, with  
15 knowledge of the effect it was and is having on physically disabled persons, constitutes  
16 despicable conduct in conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff and of other similarly situated  
17 disabled persons, justifying the imposition of punitive and exemplary damages per the standards  
18 of §3294 Civil Code.

19 23. Defendants' actions have also been oppressive to physically disabled members of the  
20 public, and have evidenced actual or implied malicious intent toward those members of the  
21 public such as Plaintiff and other physically disabled persons who have been denied the proper  
22 access they are entitled to by law. Further Defendants' refusals on a day-to-day basis to correct  
23 these problems evidence despicable conduct in conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff  
24 MONROE QUINN and of other physically disabled members of the public.

25 24. Plaintiff prays for an award of punitive damages against Defendants and each of them  
26 pursuant to §3294 of the Civil Code in amounts sufficient to make a profound example of  
27 Defendants and discourage owners and operators of other public facilities from willful disregard  
28 for the rights of the disabled. Plaintiff does not know the financial worth of Defendants, or the

1 amount of punitive damages sufficient to accomplish the public purposed of §3294 of the Civil  
2 Code and seeks leave to amend this Complaint in this respect when such facts are known.

3 25. The acts and omissions of Defendants as complained of continue on a day-to-day basis to  
4 have the effect of allowing Defendants to willfully and wrongfully exclude Plaintiff and other  
5 members of the public who are physically disabled from full and equal access to the BANK and  
6 its facilities and amenities. Such acts and omissions are the direct cause of humiliation and  
7 mental and emotional suffering to Plaintiff in that these actions treat Plaintiff as an inferior and  
8 second class citizen and serve to discriminate against him on the sole basis that he is physically  
9 disabled and unable to use and have access to public facilities of the Defendants on an equal  
10 basis to that available to other persons, so long as the facilities fail to provide proper and legally  
11 required access for disabled persons. On information and belief, Plaintiff also continues to be  
12 denied full and equal access to use of BANK's other facilities. Said acts have proximately caused  
13 and will continue to cause irreparable injury to Plaintiff if not enjoined by this Court.

14 26. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff asks this Court to enjoin any continuing refusal by Defendants  
15 to grant such access to Plaintiff and to enjoin operation of the BANK as a public facility and  
16 public place of business until Defendants comply with all applicable statutory requirements  
17 relating to access to the handicapped, and that the Court award statutory attorneys' fees, litigation  
18 expenses and costs pursuant to Civil Code §55 and Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 and as  
19 further herein prayed for.

20 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for damages as hereinafter stated.

21 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION:**

22 **VIOLATION OF DISABLED PERSONS ACT**

23 **CIVIL CODE §§54, 54.1, 54.3 ET SEQ,**

24 **DENIAL OF EQUAL ACCESS TO PHYSICALLY DISABLED PERSONS**

25 27. Plaintiff repleads and incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth again herein, the  
26 factual allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 26 of this Complaint and incorporates them  
27 herein as if separately replead.

28 28. At all times relevant to this action, California Civil Code §54.1 has provided that



1 physically disabled persons are not to be discriminated against because of physical handicap or  
2 disability. This section provides that:

3 ....physically disabled persons shall be entitled to full and equal access, as other members  
4 of the general public, to accommodations, advantages, airplanes, motor vehicles,...or any other  
5 public conveyance or modes of transportation, telephone facilities, hotels, lodging places, places  
6 of public accommodation and amusement or resort, and other places to which the general public  
is invited, subject only to state or federal regulations, and applicable alike to all other persons.

7 29. California Civil Code §54.3 provides that any person or corporation who denies or  
8 interferes with admittance to, or enjoyment of the public facilities as specified in §54 and §54.1  
9 is liable of EACH such offense for the actual damages and any amount up to a maximum of  
10 these times the amount of actual damages, but in no case less than \$1,000.00 and such attorneys'  
11 fees that may be determined by the Court in addition thereto, suffered by any person denied any  
12 of the rights provided in §54 and §54.1, for services necessary to enforce those rights.

13 29. Plaintiff is a person within the meaning of Civil Code §54 and §54.1 whose rights have  
14 been infringed upon and violated by the Defendants as prescribed by §54.1. A separate act in  
15 violation of §54.1 has been committed EACH DAY that Defendants knowingly and willfully fail  
16 and refuse to provide full and equal access for physically disabled persons to the BANK.  
17 Plaintiff has been denied full and equal access on a daily and ongoing basis since filing the  
18 Complaint.

19 30. Further, any violation of the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990, (as plead in the  
20 Third Cause of Action hereinbelow, the contents of which are replead and incorporated herein,  
21 word for word, as if separately replead), also constitutes a violation of §§54 and 54.1 (d)  
22 California Civil Code, thus independently justifying an award of damages and injunctive relief  
23 pursuant to California law.

24 31. Plaintiff has been damaged by Defendants' wrongful conduct and seeks the relief that is  
25 afforded by §§54.1, 54.3 and 55 of the Civil Code. Plaintiff seeks actual damages, treble  
26 damages, punitive damages, preliminary and injunctive relief to enjoin and eliminate the  
27 discriminatory practices of Defendants disrespecting disabled persons, and for reasonable  
28 attorneys' fees, litigation expenses and costs.

32. The act and omission of Defendants as complained of continue on a day-to-day basis to have the effect of allowing Defendants to willfully and wrongfully exclude Plaintiff and other members of the public who are physically disabled from full and equal access to the BANK and its facilities and amenities. Such acts and omissions are the direct cause of humiliation and mental and emotional suffering to Plaintiff and that these actions treat Plaintiff as an inferior and second class citizen and serve to discriminate against him on the sole basis that he is physically disabled and unable to use and have access to public facilities of the Defendants on an equal basis to that available to other persons, so long as the facilities fail to provide proper and legally required access for disabled persons. Said acts have proximately caused and will continue to cause irreparable injury to Plaintiff if not enjoined by this Court.

33. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff asks this Court to enjoin any continuing refusal by Defendants to grant such access to Plaintiff and to enjoin operation of the BANK as a public facility and public place of business until Defendants comply with all applicable statutory requirements related to access to the handicapped, and that the Court award statutory attorneys' fees, litigation expenses and costs pursuant to Civil Code §55 and Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 and as further herein prayed for.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for damages and injunctive relief as hereinafter stated.

### **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION:**

#### **VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990**

#### **42 USC §12101FF**

34. Plaintiff repleads and incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth again herein, the factual allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 33 of this Complaint and incorporates them herein as if separately replead.

35. Pursuant to law in 1990, the United States Congress made findings per 42 USC §12101 regarding physically disabled persons, finding that laws were needed to more fully protect “some 43 million Americans with one or more physical or mental disabilities; that historically society has tended to isolate and segregate individuals with disabilities” and that “such forms of discrimination against individuals with disabilities continue to be a serious and pervasive social

1 problem; that the nation's proper goals regarding individuals with disabilities are to assure  
 2 equality of opportunity, full participation, independent living and economic self sufficiency for  
 3 such individuals; and that the continuing existence of unfair and unnecessary discrimination and  
 4 prejudice denies people with disabilities the opportunity to compete on an equal basis and to  
 5 pursue those opportunities of which our free society is justifiably famous.”

6 36. Congress stated as its purpose in passing the Americans with Disabilities (42 USC  
 7 §12101 (B)):

8 (1) It is the purpose of this act to provide a clear and comprehensive national mandate for the  
 9 elimination of discrimination against individuals with disabilities; (2) to provide clear, strong, consistent,  
 10 enforceable standards addressing discrimination against individuals with disabilities; (3) to ensure that the  
 11 Federal government plays a central role in enforcing the standards established in this act on behalf of  
 12 individuals with disabilities; and (4) to invoke the sweep of Congressional authority, including the power  
 to enforce the 14th Amendment and to regulate commerce, in order to address the major areas of  
 discrimination faced day to day by people with disabilities.

13 37. As part of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, Public Law 101-336, (hereafter  
 14 the "ADA"), Congress passed "Title III - Public Accommodations and Services Operated by  
 15 Private Entities" (42 USC 12181ff). Among the Public accommodations identified for purposes  
 16 of this title was a "BANK." (§301(7)(F)).

17 38. Pursuant to §302, 42 USC §12182, “No individual shall be discriminated against on the  
 18 basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges,  
 19 advantages, or accommodations of any place of public accommodation by any person who owns,  
 20 leases, or leases to, or operates a place of public accommodation.”

21 39. Although the specific prohibitions against discrimination were included, in  
 22 §302(b)(2)(a)(iv), “a failure to remove architectural barriers, and communication barriers that are  
 23 structural in nature, in existing facilities... where such removal is readily achievable;” and (v)  
 24 “where an entity can demonstrate that the removal of a barrier under clause (iv) is not readily  
 25 achievable, a failure to make such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or  
 26 accommodations available through alternative methods if such methods are “readily achievable.”  
 27 The acts of Defendants set forth herein are a violation of Plaintiff’s rights under the “ADA,”  
 28

1 Public Law 101-336, and the regulations promulgated thereunder, 28 CFR Part 36ff. The  
2 standards of the ADA are also incorporated into §§54.3 and 55 of the Civil Code.

3 40. The removal of each of the barriers complained of by Plaintiff MONROE QUINN as  
4 hereinabove alleged was at all time after January 26, 1992 “readily achievable.” As noted  
5 hereinabove, removal of each of the architectural barriers complained of were already required  
6 under existing California law. Further, at all times since January 26, 1992, modification of or  
7 removal of each of the above described individual barriers was “readily achievable” under the  
8 factors specified in §301(9) of the Americans with Disabilities Act and regulations adopted  
9 pursuant to that section. On information and belief, Defendants failed to “address” any of these  
10 problems, even after MONROE QUINN’S difficulties in obtaining access were made clear to  
11 Defendants and their agents.

12 41. Per §301(9), 42 USC 12181, the term “readily achievable” means “easily accomplished  
13 and able to be carried out without much difficulty or expense.” Plaintiff alleges that each of the  
14 items that Plaintiff has complained about hereinabove was and is “readily achievable” by the  
15 Defendants under the standards set forth under §301(9) of the Americans with Disabilities Act at  
16 all times since January 26, 1992. Further, if it was not “readily achievable” for Defendants to  
17 remove each of such barriers, Defendants have failed to make the required services available  
18 through alternative methods, which were readily achievable.

19 42. Pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 USC 12188ff, §308, Plaintiff is  
20 entitled to the remedies and procedures set forth in §204(a) of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42  
21 USC 2000(a) –3(a), as Plaintiff is being subjected to discrimination on the basis of disability in  
22 violation of this title or has reasonable grounds for believing that he is about to be subjected to  
23 discrimination in violation of §302. Further, each violation of the ADA which Plaintiff alleges is  
24 occurring on a daily, continuing and ongoing basis, also constitutes a violation of §§54(c) and  
25 §54.1(d) California Civil Code, further entitling Plaintiff to the rights and remedies of §54.3  
26 Civil Code, including damages and attorneys’ fees and costs, and injunctive relief per §55 Civil  
27 Code.

28 43. Per §308 (a) (1) (42 USC 12188), “Nothing in this section shall require a person with a

1 disability to engage in a futile gesture if such person has actually noticed that a person or  
 2 organization covered by this title does not intend to comply with its provisions.” Pursuant to this  
 3 last section, Plaintiff, on information and belief, alleges that Defendants have continued to  
 4 violate the law and deny the rights of Plaintiff and of other disabled persons to access this public  
 5 accommodation. Pursuant to §308 (b)(2)(A)(iv) ... injunctive relief shall include an order to alter  
 6 facilities to make such facilities readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities  
 7 to the extent required by this title.” Plaintiff seeks such injunctive relief.

8 44. Plaintiff seeks relief pursuant to remedies set forth in §204(a) of the Civil Rights Act of  
 9 1964, (42 USC 2000 (a) –3(a), and pursuant to Federal Regulations adopted to implement the  
 10 Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990.

11 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court grant relief and damages as follows:

12 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

13 **(UNFAIR BUSINESS PRACTICE-INJUNCTIVE RELIEF ONLY;**

14 **Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code Section 17200, et seq.)**

15 45. Plaintiff incorporates by reference herein the allegations in paragraphs 1-44, inclusive.

16 46. Defendant’s conduct, as alleged, is part of a general business practice by Defendants.  
 17 Defendant has made a considered decision to promote profit at the expense of Defendant’s legal  
 18 obligations to patrons with disabilities.

19 47. Defendant’s policies and practices constitute an unfair business practice within the  
 20 meaning of California Business and Professions Code Sections 17200, et. seq., in that inter alia,  
 21 Defendants appeals to, advertisers to, and purports to serve persons with disabilities, yet  
 22 Defendant’s facility is inaccessible and illegal, in violation of public policy.

23 48. Plaintiff is entitled to an injunction restraining Defendants from engaging in any or  
 24 omission, the effect of which is to cause, directly or indirectly, discrimination by Defendants  
 25 against person with disabilities.

26 49. Plaintiff is also entitled to attorneys’ fees and costs.

27 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests relief as set forth below.

28 //

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

**FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION – STATUTORY VIOLATIONS**

1. General and compensatory damages according to proof;
2. Special and consequential damages according to proof;
3. Punitive and exemplary damages in an amount sufficient to deter such wrongful conduct and make an example of the Defendants;
4. For attorneys' fees, litigation expenses and costs pursuant to §1021.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure, §19953 Health & Safety Code;
5. For all costs of suit;
6. For pre-judgment interest pursuant to §3291 of the Civil Code;
7. That Defendants be preliminarily and permanently enjoined from operating and maintaining the BANK in violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 or other regulations as are currently required by law;
8. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

**SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

**FULL AND EQUAL ACCESS PER §§54 AND 54.1 CIVIL CODE**

1. General and compensatory damages according to proof;
2. All damages as afforded by Civil Code §54.3 for each day on which Defendants have denied to Plaintiff equal access for the disabled;
3. Attorneys' fees, litigation expenses and costs pursuant to §54.3 and §55 of the Civil Code, and §1021.5 Code of Civil Procedure;
4. Punitive damages pursuant to §3294 Civil Code;
5. For all costs of suit;
6. Pre-judgment interest pursuant to §3291 of the Civil Code;
7. That Defendants be enjoined from operating the BANK as a public accommodation and facility open to the public, so long as disabled persons are not provided full and equal access to the accommodations and facilities, as provided by §51, 54, 54.1, 55 et seq., of the Civil Code;
8. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

**THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION – ADA VIOLATIONS**

1. FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF INCLUDING ORDERING Defendants “to alter the facilities to make such facilities readily accessible to and usable by individuals with Disabilities,” per §308(a)(2) of Public Law 101-336, (42 USC §12188);
2. For attorneys’ fees, litigation expenses and costs of suit;
3. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.

**FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION- UNFAIR BUSINESS PRACTICE**

**Cal Bus. & Prof. Code Section 17200, et seq.**

1. Plaintiff is entitled to an injunction restraining the Defendants from engaging in any act or omission, or failing to engage in any act or omission, the effect of which is to cause, directly or indirectly, discrimination by Defendants against person disabilities.
2. Plaintiff is also entitled to attorneys’ fees and costs.

Dated: December \_\_, 2001

---

CHARLA R. DUKE  
LAW OFFICES OF CHARLA R. DUKE  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
MONROE QUINN

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury for all claims for which a jury is permitted.

Dated: December \_\_\_\_, 2001

---

CHARLA R. DUKE  
LAW OFFICES OF CHARLA R. DUKE  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
MONROE QUINN